Defending the Faith — Apologetics

1 Peter 3:15 but in your hearts honor Christ the Lord as holy, always being prepared to make a **defense** to anyone who asks you for a reason for the hope that is in you; yet do it with gentleness and respect,

Noun: From the Greek word Apologia - a speech of defense, reply, the act of making a defense, defense

Philippians 1:16 ... I am put here for the **defense** of the gospel.

2 Corinthians 7:11 ... what eagerness to clear [vindicate] yourselves,

Acts 22:1 .. hear the defense that I now make before you."

Acts 25:16...had opportunity to make his **defense** concerning the charge laid against him.

1 Corinthians 9:3 This is my **defense** to those who would examine me.

Philippians 1:7...both in my imprisonment and in the **defense** and confirmation of the gospel.

2 Timothy 4:16 At my first **defense** no one came to stand by me,

Verb: From the Greek word Apologeomai - to speak in one's own defense against charges presumed to be false, to defend oneself

Luke 12:11 ... do not be anxious about how you should defend yourself

Luke 21:14 ... not to worry beforehand how you will **defend** yourselves.

Acts 19:33 ...wanted to make a **defense** to the crowd.

Acts 24:10 ... I cheerfully make my defense.

Acts 25:8 .. Paul argued in his defense

Acts 26:1 ... Then Paul stretched out his hand and made his defense:

Acts 26:2...I am going to make my **defense** today against all the accusations of the Jews

Acts 26:24 And as he was saying these things in his defense,

Romans 2:15 ... and their thoughts alternately accusing or else defending them,

2 Cor 12:19 Have you been thinking all along that we have been **defending** ourselves to you?

Defending Apologetics

Why some are opposed to the idea of apologetics:

- 1. God does not need us to defend him
- 2. Coercion is always lurking nearby
- 3. Defensiveness is never a good posture to speak from to others
- 4. Apologetics gets in the way of the Word of God
- 5. The distance between belief and unbelief can only be bridged by faith

Varieties of Apologetical Approaches:

Ontological Argument for God: (Rational or deductive arguments for God's existence) St. Anselm (12th); René Descartes (17th); Alvin Plantinga (21st-modal version) Anselm: God is which nothing greater can be conceived"

Cosmological Argument for God: (empirical /inductive arguments for God's existence)

Thomas Aquinas (13th); David Hume (18th); Norman Geisler (20th) Aquinas: Five Ways— 1.unmoved mover, 2. first cause, 3. necessary being, 4. argument from degree, 5. the teleological argument.

Subjective Approach: (start from Man and work toward God)

Friedrich Schleiermacher (19th); Søren Kierkegaard(19th), Emil Brunner (20th) A sense of Absolute dependence

Presuppositional Apologetics:

Cornelius Van Til (1895-1987)

"Now, in fact, I feel that the whole of history and civilization would be unintelligible to me if it were not for my belief in God. So true is this, that I propose to argue that unless God is back of everything, you cannot find meaning in anything." *Why I Believe in God*, p. 3

The book, "Five Views on Apologetics," edited by Steven B. Cowan:

The classical method — employs natural theology to establish theism as the correct worldview. uses many historical 'proofs.'

Proponents: William Craig, R.C. Sproul, Norman Geisler, Stephen Davis, R. Swinburne.

The evidential method — much in common with the classical method except in solving the issue concerning the value of miracles as evidence.

Proponents: Gary Habernas, John Montgomery, Clark Pinnock, Wolfhart Pannenberg

The cumulative case method — more like the brief that a lawyer makes or that a literary critic makes for a particular interpretation of a book. An informed argument that pieces together several types of data into a sort of hypothesis or theory

Proponents: Paul Feinburg, Basil Mitchell, likely includes C.S. Lewis, C. Evans.

The Presuppositional method — The apologist must simply presuppose the truth of Christianity as the proper starting point in apologetics.

Proponents: C. Van Til, Gordon Clark, Greg Bahsen, Francis Schaeffer, John Frame

The Reformed Epistemology Approach — holds that it is perfectly reasonable to believe many things without evidence. The focus will tend to be on negative or defensive apologetics.

Proponents: Steven Cowan; Kelly James Clark, Alvin Plantinga, Nicholas Wolterstorff, George Mavrodes, William Alson.